



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,359	03/02/2004	Kenneth Roger Jones	1033-MS1024	8966
84326 7590 09/15/2009 AT & T LEGAL DEPARTMENT - Toler ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING ROOM 2A-207 ONE AT & T WAY BEDMINISTER, NJ 07921				
EXAMINER				
BOKHARI, SYED M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2416				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/15/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/791,359

**Applicant(s)**

JONES ET AL.

**Examiner**

SYED BOKHARI

**Art Unit**

2416

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SYED BOKHARI.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) EDWARD LENART.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jones et al. (2005/0033853 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Edward retreated from the previous agreement reached in the interview of 09/04/2009 to amend the claim 1. Edward claimed that Jones et al. is the staff member of SBC Knowledge Ventures L.P. and therefore, the prior art could not be used for rejection of the claim. Syed will look into it when submitted officially.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Syed Bokhari/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2416

/KWANG B. YAO/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416